

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459

| | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/829,866 04/10/2001 | | Timothy Jay Smith | 9D-EC-19759 | 7398 | |
| 7590 01/18/2007 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP | | | JARRETT, SCOTT L | | |
| One Metropolitan Square | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Suite 2600 St.Louis, MO | 53102 | 3623 | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/18/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Π | |
|------------------|--------------|---|--|
| 09/829,866 | SMITH ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | _ | |
| Scott L. Jarrett | 3623 | | |

| | Scott L. Jarrett | 3023 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with th | correspondence add | iress |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | | |
| \(\)\[\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[| the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply | of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evide n compliance with 37 C | nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3) |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma | iling date of the final rejec | tion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN ' 06.07(f). | THE FIRST REPLY WAS | FILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been field is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office are yet used to the set of the set | tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing | int of the fee. The approp inginally set in the final Of date of the final rejection, | riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) | , to avoid dismissal of t | ths of the date of he appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but wise to the date of filing a be | iof will not be entered | hecause |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further companies. | nsideration and/or search (see | OTE below): | because |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | tter form for appeal by materially | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally | rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non | Compliant Amendmen | t (PTOL-324). |
| 5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) |): . | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s) | llowable if submitted in a separa | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wided below or appended. | will be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing nd sufficient reasons why the aff | a Notice of Appeal will j davit or other evidence | not be entered is necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a ry and was not earlier presented | peal and/or appellant t . See 37 CFR 41.33(d | alis to provide a)(1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims aft | er entry is below or atta | ched. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet. | | on in condition for allow | rance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | 1 | 11- |
| 13. Other: | | 1 | |
| | 23 | TELLUM II. INSTA TELLUCIU CIV CIVIENT I TELLUCIU CIV CIVIE | e Bragginger Bragginger |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendments filed December 22, 2006 to claims 1-20 requires further consideration and/or search wherein claim one, for example, now recites that the the devilery capacity of a delivery agent comprising a first volume defined by a plurality of stote, each slot defining a slot volume in contrast to the previous recitation that the delivery capacity of a dlievery agent is represented by a pluriality of slots.